Branch, Accounting and Audits Division, Common Carrier Bureau, at (202) 530–6023.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Although the Commission received hundreds of comments in response to the NPRM in this docket released March 8, 1996, the Common Carrier Bureau issued a public notice in order to supplement the record by asking for comment on 72 focused questions involving universal service support for high cost, rural and insular areas, support for schools, libraries and health care providers, support for low income individuals and administration of the support mechanism.

Federal Communications Commission. Kathleen B. Levitz,

Deputy Bureau Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-26429 Filed 10-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

#### 47 CFR Parts 36 and 69

[CC Docket No. 96-45; DA 96-1094]

#### **Common Carrier Bureau Seeks Further** Comment on Cost Models Regarding the Universal Service Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

**AGENCY: Federal Communications** Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: On March 14, 1996, (61 FR 10499) the Commission published in the Federal Register a notice of proposed rulemaking regarding the implementation of universal service support pursuant to section 254 in the 1996 Telecommunications Act. A public notice, which was released by the Common Carrier Bureau on July 10, 1996, sought comment on cost models discussed in the universal service notice of proposed rulemaking. This public notice extended the comment period until August 9, 1996. The purpose of this public notice was to supplement information regarding cost models received in response to the NPRM. DATES: The July 10, 1996, public notice extended the comment period until

August 9, 1996. **ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications

Commission, Office of the Secretary, 1919 M St., NW. Room 222, Washington, DC 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Astrid Carlson, Universal Service Branch, Accounting and Audits Division, Common Carrier Bureau, at (202) 530-6023.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Although the Commission received hundreds of

comments in response to the NPRM in this docket released March 8, 1996, the Common Carrier Bureau issued a Public Notice in order to supplement the record by asking for additional comments on the Benchmark Cost Model, the Benchmark Cost Model 2, the Hatfield model and the Cost Proxy Model.

Federal Communications Commission. Kathleen B. Levitz,

Deputy Bureau Chief, Common Carrier Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-26430 Filed 10-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

#### 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-214; RM-8886]

## Radio Broadcasting Services; Dededo, Guam

**AGENCY: Federal Communications** Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Rolando Manuntag proposing the allotment of Channel 286C at Dededo, Guam, as the community's first local aural transmission service. Channel 286C can be allotted to Dededo in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements without the imposition of a site restriction at petitioner's requested site. The coordinates for Channel 286C at Dededo are North Latitude 13-29-01 and East Longitude 144-49-29.

**DATES:** Comments must be filed on or before December 9, 1996 and reply comments on or before December 24.

**ADDRESSES:** Federal Communications Commission, Washington, DC 20554. In addition to filing comments with the FCC, interested parties should serve the petitioner, or its counsel or consultant, as follows: Wayne Coy, Jr., Esq., Cohn and Marks, 1333 New Hampshire Avenue, NW., Suite 600, Washington, DC 20036–1573 (Counsel for Petitioner).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon P. McDonald, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 418-2180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a synopsis of the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rule Making, MM Docket No. 96-214, adopted October 11, 1996, and released October 18, 1996. The full text of this Commission decision is available for inspection and copying during normal business hours in the FCC Reference Center (Room 239), 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, DC. The

complete text of this decision may also be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, International Transcription Service, Inc., (202) 857-3800, 2100 M Street, NW., Suite 140, Washington, DC 20037.

Provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to this proceeding.

Members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all ex parte contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel allotments. See 47 CFR 1.1204(b) for rules governing permissible ex parte contacts. For information regarding proper filing procedures for comments, see 47 CFR 1.415 and 1.420.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Federal Communications Commission. John A. Karousos.

Chief, Allocations Branch, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

[FR Doc. 96-27688 Filed 10-28-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712-01-P

# 47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 96-212, RM-8884]

### Radio Broadcasting Services: Portland and Seaside, Oregon

**AGENCY: Federal Communications** Commission.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Radio Systems of Miami, Inc., requesting the substitution of Channel 254C1 for Channel 253C at Portland, OR, and the modification of Station KUPL-FM's license to specify operation on the lower class channel. The substitution of channels could enable Station KUPL-FM to relocate its transmitter and eliminate its use of a translator station. To accommodate the substitution of channels at Portland, the petitioner also requests that Channel 235A or Channel 272A be substituted for Channel 255A at Seaside, OR, and the construction permit of Station KULU(FM) be modified to specify the alternate Class A channel. Channel 254C1 can be allotted to Portland in compliance with the Commission's minimum distance separation requirements with a site restriction of 9.1 kilometers (5.6 miles) west, at coordinates 45-30-58 NL; 122-43-59 WL, to accommodate petitioner's